Feb 14 2015
DRECP BLM, CA Energy Commission

Subject: Help ensure our magnificent California desert is protected for future generations!

Dear DRECP BLM, CA Energy Commission,

Protecting the California desert's wildlife, cultural riches and recreation areas while also ensuring California is addressing our clean energy needs is important to me.

The Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan needs improvements to protect the California desert's treasured lands.

First the plan should protect places that have been identified as wilderness quality lands in the California desert.

I also support adding more than three million acres of public lands to BLM's National Conservation Lands system for their wildlife cultural scenic and recreational values. Salton Valley is one of many places that should be added to the National Conservation Lands to protect it from proposed renewable energy development.

The proposed renewable energy "Development Focus Areas" should be modified to confirm development to the most suitable low conflict areas that address community concerns and protect wildlife habitat and wild lands.

Remember that the vast bulk of renewable energy generation can be accomplished by rooftop solar which delivers the electricity right where it is needed and without requiring land area or expensive transmission infrastructure. So please plan those renewable generation facilities where they will have the "minimum take away" from the natural and other values of desert lands.

There are appropriate places for development and the DRECP should help guide projects to those places. Where there is development in places like the Salton Sea lands generated from development there should be used to help restore other parts of this unique and important wildlife area.

Please work to strengthen the DRECP by addressing these issues. Together we can protect the desert and expand needed clean energy.

Sincerely
Mr. William Schoene
Response to Comment Letter F233

William Schoene
February 14, 2015

F233-1 Thank you for your comment. While it has not resulted in a change in the document, the BLM has taken it into consideration.

F233-2 See response F228-2.

F233-3 The distributed generation alternative does not meet BLM’s purpose and need. Distributed generation was considered but not carried forward, as discussed in Volume II, Section II.8.2.1. BLM lands are largely devoid of buildings, and distributed generation is applicable in locations with both electrical demand and areas or surfaces available for installation of distributed generation technology.

F233-4 See response F228-3.

F233-5 The BLM has taken this comment into consideration.
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