FEbruary 16, 2015

Chris Bale
DRECP Acting Executive Director

SUBJECT: Comments RE Draft Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan as it proposes to impact the rural community of Newberry Springs

1—Do no harm!

2—We have seen your targeting map with this community of Newberry Springs blanketed in red as Target Zero and we object. In that you never held a scoping meeting in this community and thereby were obviously not interested in our input, we nevertheless shall provide this commentary.

3—Newberry Springs is classified as severely economically disadvantaged by a government authority, likely the Census Bureau. Because of that designation, we have historically been targeted by adverse industries and their government agency accomplices. Is that how you found us?

4—With all the slings and arrows directed at us, we still have the potential to become a model community, unique to the vast High Desert area of San Bernardino County.

This community has clean air, a high-desert climate, two interstate highways (15 & 40), two Class I railroads, (Burlington Northern and Union Pacific), a county airport adjacent at Daggett, two scenic federal wilderness areas (Horn Mountain & Newberry Mountains) on one boundary, the Cady Mountains Wilderness Study area on another boundary, and the Cady Cady Fish & Wildlife Preserve, consisting of over 1,600 acres in the Mojave River, along another boundary.

With some farsighted vision and very professional land use planning, this community has the potential to become a state-of-the-art “Field of Dreams” model community for others to emulate, an experimental showcase for the latest ideas in housing, science, medicine and industry—something that might attract an artist community as well.

5—The highest and best use of the land assets in Newberry Springs would likely be something in line with the above paragraph. The highest and best use of our land assets is certainly not large, industrial scale renewable energy projects, to provide electricity to distant consumers. Were we to be “used” in that way, it would deserve to become another definition for “the tragedy of the commons.”

6—In 1981 San Bernardino County Supervisor Bob Older had a vision for the creation of a “New Town” in our desert, somewhere in the Barstow/Newberry Springs area. He also obtained funding for a Newberry Springs community plan, which was discarded after two years of county planning department efforts. When Supervisor Older was recalled, in our view he was recalled because he refused to play ball in the corrupt county government system. We miss his vision.
FEBRUARY 16, 2015  
GRISHA BEALE  
DRECP ACTING DIRECTOR  

7—WE THINK THE SPIRIT, IF NOT THE LAW, REQUIRES THAT YOU SERIOUSLY CONSIDER THE ALTERNATIVE OF RESIDENTIAL ROOF-TOP SOLAR AS A VIABLE ALTERNATIVE TO LARGE-SCALE INDUSTRIAL RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITIES, AS PER CEQA AND NEPA.

8—SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY INTEREST IN SEEING THIS COMMUNITY BEFORE ANY FINAL DECISIONS ARE MADE IN NEWBERRY SPRINGS, WE WOULD BE GLAD TO GIVE YOU A GUIDED TOUR.

SINCERELY,

ROBERT BEERMAN, PRESIDENT  
CEQA-MRG  
POB 368  
NEWBERRY SPRINGS, CA 92395  
760-257-9900  
ctcdadgett@rindspring.com

CC: SUPERVISOR ROBERT LOVINGOOD, ATTN: MR. RON FRAZIER, SENIOR FIELD REPRESENTATIVE  
CC: MR. GREGORY C. DEVEREAUX, CEO, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY  
CC: MR. TOM SUBSON, DIRECTOR, LAND USE SERVICES DEPT., SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY  
CC: MS. TERRI KAHN, PLANNING DIRECTOR, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY  
CC: MR. CHUCK RAY, LUCIEN VALLEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION  
CC: MR. LEHAYES, GENERAL MANAGER, NEWBERRY SPRINGS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
CC: MS. ELLEN JOHNSON, NEWBERRY SPRINGS/HARVARD PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION  
CC: MS. PAULA DEE, NEWBERRY SPRINGS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE  
CC: MS. KAYE NORMIS, PRESIDENT, NEWBERRY SPRINGS COMMUNITY/SENIOR CENTER  
CC: NEWBERRY SPRINGS COMMUNITY ALLIANCE  
CC: MS. AND MRS. WAYNE SNIVELY, NEWBERRY SPRINGS  
CC: MR. RICK GUTCHLOCK, PUBLISHER, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SENTINEL  
CC: MS. MIKE LABS, EDITOR, DESERT DISPATCH  
CC: MS. KEN ALEX, DIRECTOR, GOVERNOR’S OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH  
CC: DR. ROBERT S. KEISERMEYER, PhD., CHAIRMAN, CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
Response to Comment Letter F89

Robert Berkman
February 19, 2015

F89-1 Thank you for your comment. Public meetings were held at multiple locations throughout the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) area over several weeks. These occurred at various times and locations and were geographically distributed to allow for public participation in various parts of the area. In addition to being able to provide comments at public meetings, people were able to comment in writing. Chapter IV.23 addresses impacts to socioeconomics.

F89-2 This comment is not relevant to the Land Use Plan Amendment (LUPA) and will be addressed in Phase II of the DRECP, as described in Volume I.

F89-3 Rooftop solar was included as part of the California Energy Commission’s renewable energy calculator for the DRECP. The distributed generation alternative does not meet the Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM’s) purpose and need. Distributed generation was considered but not carried forward, as discussed in Volume II, Section II.8.2.1.

F89-4 Thank you for your offer of a tour. BLM is familiar with the area. No change in the document is required as a result of this comment.
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